arXiv:math/0702794v1 [math.FA] 26 Feb 2007

REAL ANALYTIC GENERALIZED FUNCTIONS

S. PILIPOVIĆ, D. SCARPALEZOS, V. VALMORIN

Abstract. Real analytic generalized functions are investigated as well as the analytic singular support and analytic wave front of a generalized function in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ are introduced and described.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46F30, 46F20,35A10, 35A27.

Keywords and phrases. Algebra of generalized functions,analytic wave front.

1. Introduction and definitions

Holomorphic generalized functions are defined as the solutions to $\bar{\partial}_{z_i}G = 0, i = 1,...,p$, where G is an element of a generalized function algebra $\mathcal{G}(\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^p$ (see [3], [4], [5], [11], [19] and [24] for the definition and the properties for generalized function algebra). Recall, elements of this algebra are classes of equivalences of nets of smooth functions with respect to an ideal $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)$ which consists of nets $(n_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ converging to zero, on compact sets, faster than any positive power of ε as $\varepsilon \to 0$. So, $\bar{\partial}G = 0$ means $\bar{\partial}G_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^a)$ on compact sets of Ω, for any $a > 0$. Thus, various properties for such generalized functions have became interesting and important. The algebra $\mathcal{G}_H(\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^p$, of holomorphic generalized functions is introduced and studied in [4], [1], [6] and [7] while in [22] is proved the existence of a global holomorphic representative (any member of a representative is holomorphic) for an $f \in \mathcal{G}_H(\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, in [16] is shown (in the one dimensional case) that a holomorphic generalized function equals zero if it is equal to zero at every classical point.

In this paper we are interested in the class of real analytic generalized functions $\mathcal{G}_A(\omega)$, ω is open in $\mathbb{R}^d$, in order to study generalized analytic microlocal regularity of generalized functions.

We give in Section 2 a representation of an arbitrary generalized function $f \in \mathcal{G}(\omega), \omega$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^d$, as a boundary value on $I \subset\subset \omega$ of a corresponding holomorphic generalized function $[(F_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ on $I \times (-1/\eta, 1/\eta)^d$ which means $(\bar{\partial}_{z_i}F_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}(I \times (-1/\eta, 1/\eta)^d), i = 1,...,d$. Further, in the case $d = 1$ we give a global real analytic representative of a real analytic generalized function. Then we define analytic singular support of a generalized function. Also in Section 2 we prove that a generalized real analytic function equals zero if it is equal to zero at every classical point of a subset of ω of positive measure by proving the same result for a holomorphic generalized function in an open set of $\mathbb{C}^p$ (see [16] for the case $p = 1$). Generalized analytic wave front of $f \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$ is introduced in Section 3 (see [14], [8], [9], [10] and [15] for the local and microlocal $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}$-properties of Colombeau-type generalized functions). It is proved, for a distribution, that its wave front coincides with the generalized wave front of the embedded distribution in $\mathcal{G}(\omega)$. Actually we prove a stronger result, the strong associativity of a distribution and a generalized function is necessary and sufficient condition for the equality of their analytic wave fronts. Section 4 is devoted to the comparison of the notions of S-analyticity in the sense of [2] and the analyticity of this paper.

1.1. **Definitions.** We recall the main definitions ([5], [11], [19], [20]). Let ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathcal{E}(\omega)$ be the space of smooth functions with the sequence of seminorms $\mu_\nu(\phi) = \sup\{|\phi^{(\alpha)}(x)|; \alpha \leq \nu,\ x \in K_\nu\},\ \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $(K_\nu)_\nu$ is an increasing sequence of compact sets exhausting ω. Then the set of moderate nets $\mathcal{E}_M(\omega)$, respectively of null nets $\mathcal{N}(\omega)$, consists of nets $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}(\omega)^{(0,1)}$ with the properties

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})\ (\exists a \in \mathbb{R})\ (\mu_n(f_\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon^a)),$$

$$\text{respectively,}\ \ (\forall n \in \mathbb{N})\ (\forall b \in \mathbb{R})\ (\mu_n(f_\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon^b))$$

(O is the Landau symbol "big O”). Both spaces are algebras and the latter is an ideal of the former. Putting $v_n(r_\varepsilon) = \sup\{a;\ \mu_n(r_\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon^a)\}$ and $e_n((r_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon, (s_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon) = \exp(-v_n(r_\varepsilon - s_\varepsilon)),\ n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain $(e_n)_n$, a sequence of ultra-pseudometrics on $\mathcal{E}_M(\omega)$ defining the ultra-metric topology (sharp topology) on $\mathcal{G}(\omega)$. The simplified Colombeau algebra $\mathcal{G}(\omega)$ is defined as the quotient $\mathcal{G}(\omega) = \mathcal{E}_M(\omega)/\mathcal{N}(\omega)$. This is also a differential algebra. If the nets $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ consist of constant functions on ω (i.e. the seminorms μ_n reduce to the absolute value), then one obtains the corresponding spaces of nets of complex (or real) numbers $\mathcal{E}_M$ and $\mathcal{N}_0$. They are algebras, $\mathcal{N}_0$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and, as a quotient, one obtains the Colombeau algebra of generalized complex numbers $\bar{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{E}_M/\mathcal{N}_0$ (or $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$). It is a ring, not a field. The sharp topology in $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined as above. Note, a ball $\tilde{B}(x_0, r)$, where $x_0 = [(x_{0,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon] \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ and $r > 0$, in the sharp topology is given by $\tilde{B}(x_0, r) = \{[(x_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]; |x_{0,\varepsilon} - x_\varepsilon| = O(\varepsilon^{-\ln r})\}$.

Recall ([11]), ω_M is the set of nets $(x_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \omega^{(0,1)}$ with the property $|x_\varepsilon| = O(\varepsilon^{-a})$ for some $a > 0$ and the relation of equivalence is introduced by

$$(x_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \sim (y_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \text{ iff } |x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon| = O(\varepsilon^a) \text{ for every } a > 0.$$

The corresponding classes of equivalence determine $\tilde{\omega}$, and $\tilde{\omega}_c$ denotes its subset consisting of the classes of equivalence with the compactly supported representatives; $(x_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is compactly supported by $K \subset\subset \omega$ if $x_\varepsilon \in K$ for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. The ultrametric in $\tilde{\omega}$ defined in the same way as in the one dimensional case for $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$. Note $\tilde{\bar{\mathbb{C}}} = \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ ($\tilde{\bar{\mathbb{R}}} = \bar{\mathbb{R}}$).

The embedding of Schwartz distributions in $\mathcal{E}'(\omega)$ is realized through the sheaf homomorphism $\mathcal{E}'(\omega) \ni f \mapsto [(f * \phi_\varepsilon|_\omega)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$, where the fixed net of mollifiers $(\phi_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is defined by $\phi_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-d}\phi(\cdot/\varepsilon),\ \varepsilon < 1$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

satisfies

$$\int \phi(t)dt = 1, \int t^m\phi(t)dt = 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |m| > 0.$$

($t^m = t_1^{m_1}...t_n^{m_n}$ and $|m| = m_1 + ... + m_n$). $\mathcal{E}'(\omega)$ is embedded into $\mathcal{G}_c(\Omega)$ of compactly supported generalized functions and the sheaf homomorphism, extended onto $\mathcal{D}'$, gives the embedding of $\mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ into $\mathcal{G}(\omega)$.

Generalized algebra $\mathcal{G}_c(\omega)$ consists of elements in $\mathcal{G}(\omega)$ which are compactly supported while $\mathcal{G}^\infty(\omega)$ is defined in [19] as the quotient $\mathcal{E}_M^\infty(\omega)/\mathcal{N}(\omega)$, where $\mathcal{E}_M^\infty(\omega)$ consists of nets $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}(\omega)^{(0,1)}$ with the property

$$(\forall K \subset\subset \omega)(\exists a \in \mathbb{R})(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d)(|\sup_{x\in K} f_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x)| = O(\varepsilon^a)),$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}^\infty$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{G}$.

If Ω is an open set of $\mathbb{C}^p \equiv \mathbb{R}^{2p}$ then a generalized function $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ is a holomorphic generalized function, if $\bar{\partial}_{z_i} f = 0$, $i = 1, ..., p$, ([4]) i.e. for any representative $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of f, $1/2(\partial_{x_i} + i\partial_{y_i})f_\varepsilon(x, y) \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$, $i = 1, ..., p$. In the sequel, we will write $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ for $\bar{\partial}_{z_i} f = 0$, $i = 1, ..., p$. It is proved in [4] that for every open bounded set of $W \subset\subset \Omega$ there exists a representative $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of f in W such that f_ε is holomorphic in W for every $\varepsilon < 1$. By the sheaf property of $\mathcal{G}$, one obtains that $\Omega \to \mathcal{G}_H(\Omega)$ is a sheaf. It is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{G}^\infty$.

2. Real analytic generalized functions

Definition 1. Let ω denote an open set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and x_0 be a point of ω. A function $g \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$ is said to be real analytic at x_0 if there exist an open ball $B = B(x_0, r) \subset \omega$ containing x_0 and $(g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_M(B)$ such that

$$(i) \quad f|B = [(g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \text{ in } \mathcal{G}(B);$$

$$(ii) \quad (\exists \eta > 0)(\exists a > 0)(\exists \varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1))$$
$$\sup_{x\in B} |g_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)}(x)| \le \eta^{|\alpha|+1}\alpha!\varepsilon^{-a},\ 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

It is said that g is real analytic in ω if g is real analytic at each point of ω. The space of all generalized functions which are real analytic in ω is denoted by $\mathcal{G}_A(\omega)$.

The analytic singular support, singsupp $_{ga}g$, is the complement of the set of points $x \in \omega$ where g is real analytic.

It follows from the definition that $\mathcal{G}_A$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{G}$.

Using Stirling's formula it is seen that condition (ii) in Definition 1 is equivalent to

$$(iii) \quad (\exists \eta > 0)(\exists a > 0)(\exists \varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1))$$
$$\sup_{x\in B} |g_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)}(x)| \le \eta(\eta|\alpha|)^{|\alpha|}\varepsilon^{-a},\ 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d.$$

The use of Taylor expansion and condition (*ii*) of Definition 1 imply that $g_\varepsilon, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ admit holomorphic extensions in a complex ball $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d; |z-$

$x_0| < r\}$ which is independent of ε. Consequently we get a holomorphic extension G of $[(g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ and then $g|B \cap \mathbb{R}^d = G|B \cap \mathbb{R}^d$.

The following theorem gives a representative of a real analytic generalized function in a neighborhood of a point. We will use a multidimensional notation:
$\alpha! = \alpha_1!...\alpha_d!,\ \eta^\alpha = \eta^{\alpha_1}...\eta^{\alpha_d},\ \eta > 0,\ \alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$;
$(iy)^j = (iy_1)^{j_1}...(iy_d)^{j_d}, i = \sqrt{-1}, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, j = (j_1, ..., j_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$;
In the one dimensional case (with $\zeta = \xi + i\eta,\ \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$),
$\partial f(\zeta) = 1/2(\partial_\xi - i\partial_\eta)f(\xi, \eta),\ \bar{\partial} f(\zeta) = 1/2(\partial_\xi + i\partial_\eta)f(\xi, \eta)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$ and $I \subset\subset \omega$ be an open $d-$interval, $I = I_1 \times ... \times I_d$. Let σ denote a positive moderate function defined in $(0, 1]$ $(\sigma(\varepsilon) \le p(1/\varepsilon), \varepsilon < 1$ for some polynomial $p)$ such that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{\sigma(\varepsilon)}{\ln \varepsilon} = -\infty$. Then there exists $\eta > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that a net $(F_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ defined by*

$$F_\varepsilon(x, y) = \sum_{j_1 \le \sigma(\varepsilon), ..., j_d \le \sigma(\varepsilon)} f_\varepsilon^{(j)}(x)(iy)^j/j!,\ (x, y) \in I \times (-1/\eta, 1/\eta)^d,\ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0),$$

represents a holomorphic generalized function $F = [(F_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ in $I \times (-1/\eta, 1/\eta)^d$ such that $f = F(\cdot, 0)$ in I (and we say that f is the boundary value of F).

Proof. We will use the assumption that there exist $\eta > 0, \varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $a > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in I} |f_\varepsilon^{(j)}(x)| \le \eta^{j+1} j! \varepsilon^{-a},\ 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0,\ j \in \mathbb{N}_0^d.$$

Take $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $(x, y) \in I \times (-1/\eta, 1/\eta)^d$. Later, as a new condition on η, we will increase η. Let $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ be a representative of f in I.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \le d,\ j \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $l_k = j_k$ for $k \ne m$ and $l_m = 0$. Let $\hat{m} = (0, ..., 1, ...0)$ (the m-th component equals 1 and the others equal 0). We have

$$2\overline{\partial}_{z_m} f_\varepsilon^{(j)}(x)(iy)^j/j! = f_\varepsilon^{(j+\hat{m})}(x)(iy)^j/j! + i f_\varepsilon^{(j)}(x) i j_m (iy_m)^{j_m - 1}(iy)^l/j!$$

$$= (iy)^l/l! \left[f_\varepsilon^{(j+\hat{m})}(x)(iy_m)^{j_m}/j_m! - f_\varepsilon^{(j)}(x)(iy_m)^{j_m-1}/(j_m - 1)! \right]$$

(if $j_m = 0$, then the second addend does not appear).

Let $E(\sigma(\varepsilon)) := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N}; n \le \sigma(\varepsilon)\}$. It follows

$$2 \sum_{j_k \le \sigma(\varepsilon), k \le d} \overline{\partial}_{z_m} f_\varepsilon^{(j)}(x)(iy)^j/j! = f_\varepsilon^{(l+E(\sigma(\varepsilon)+1)\hat{m})}(x)(iy_m)^{E(\sigma(\varepsilon))}/E(\sigma(\varepsilon))!.$$

Thus,

$$2\overline{\partial}_{z_m} F_\varepsilon(x, y) = \sum_{l_k \le \sigma(\varepsilon), k \ne m} (iy)^l/l! f_\varepsilon^{(l+E(\sigma(\varepsilon)+1)\hat{m})}(x)(iy_m)^{E(\sigma(\varepsilon))}/E(\sigma(\varepsilon))!.$$

Since

$$\sup_{x\in I} \left| f_\varepsilon^{(l+E(\sigma(\varepsilon)+1)\hat{m})}(x) \right| \le \eta^{|l|+E(\sigma(\varepsilon))+2}(l + E(\sigma(\varepsilon) + 1)\hat{m})! \varepsilon^{-a}, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$$

and $(l+E(\sigma(\varepsilon)+1)\hat{m})! = l!E(\sigma(\varepsilon)+1)!$, it follows that

$$2\left|\overline{\partial}_{z_m}F_\varepsilon(x,y)\right| \le E(\sigma(\varepsilon)+1)\sum_{l_k\le\sigma(\varepsilon),k\neq m}(|y^l|)\eta^{|l|+E(\sigma(\varepsilon))+2}\varepsilon^{-a}|y_m|^{E(\sigma(\varepsilon))}.$$

Now we enlarge η and assume that $|y_k|<\rho/\eta, k=1,...,d,\ \rho<1$. We obtain

$$2\left|\overline{\partial}_{z_m}F_\varepsilon(x,y)\right| \le \eta^2\varepsilon^{-a}\rho^{E(\sigma(\varepsilon))}E(\sigma(\varepsilon)+1)\sum_{l_k\le\sigma(\varepsilon),k\neq m}\rho^{|l|}.$$

This gives

$$2\left|\overline{\partial}_{z_m}F_\varepsilon(x,y)\right| \le \eta^2(1-\rho)^{1-d}\varepsilon^{-a}E(\sigma(\varepsilon)+1)\rho^{E(\sigma(\varepsilon))}.$$

With this and the condition $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{\sigma(\varepsilon)}{\ln\varepsilon}=-\infty$ we have that for any $b>0$ there exists $\varepsilon_b\in(0,1)$ such that $|\bar{\partial}_{z_m}F_\varepsilon(x,y)|$ is bounded by ε^b for $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_b$. This concludes the proof. □

Remark 1. Let $f\in\mathcal{G}_A(\omega)$. We will show in Theorem 10 part b), that for every compactly supported generalized point $x_0=[(x_{0,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon]$ $(x_0\in\tilde{\omega}_c)$ and every generalized point $x=[(x_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ in a sharp neighborhood V of x_0 the series $F^N=[(F^N_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon], N\in\mathbb{N}$, converges to f in the sense of sharp topology, where

$$F^N_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)=\sum_{|j|=0}^{N}f^{(j)}_\varepsilon(x_{0,\varepsilon})(x_\varepsilon-x_{0,\varepsilon})^j/j!\ \ (\varepsilon<\varepsilon_0).$$

The existence of a global holomorphic representative of a holomorphic generalized function in the one dimensional case ([22]), implies the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $f\in\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{A}(\omega),\omega\subset\mathbb{R}$. Then f admits a global real analytic representative, that is a representative $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ consisting of real analytic functions such that (i) and (ii) in Definition 1 is satisfied (with f_ε instead of g_ε) for all $x_0\in\omega$ and B depending on x_0.*

Proof. Let x_0 run over ω. Choosing a representative which satisfies (ii) in Definition 1, we conclude that there exists a ball $B_{x_0}=B(x_0,r_{x_0})\subset\omega$, a complex ball of the same radius $\tilde{B}(x_0,r_{x_0})=\tilde{B}_{x_0}$ and $F_{x_0}\in\mathcal{G}_H(B_{x_0})$ (defined with the same Taylor series as $f_\varepsilon,\varepsilon<\varepsilon_0$) such that $f|B_{x_0}=F_{x_0}|B_{x_0}$. As it is told, B_{x_0} does not depend on ε. If $\tilde{B}_{x_0}\cap\tilde{B}_{x_1}\neq\emptyset$, it follows that $F_{x_0}|\tilde{B}_{x_0}\cap\tilde{B}_{x_1}=F_{x_1}|\tilde{B}_{x_0}\cap\tilde{B}_{x_1}$. Actually, it is a consequence of Theorem 3 part b) given below (or [16], what is part II quoted before Theorem 3).

Let $(f_{x_0,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$ and $(f_{x_1,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$ be representatives of f, (with B_{x_0} and B_{x_1} as in Definition 1 and let $x\in B_{x_0}\cap B_{x_1}$. Put $n_\varepsilon(t)=f_{x_0,\varepsilon}(t)-f_{x_1,\varepsilon}(t),t\in B_{x_0}\cap B_{x_1},\varepsilon\in(0,1)$. Since $(f_{x_0,\varepsilon}-f_{x_1,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$ is a net of real analytic functions in $B_{x_0} \cap B_{x_1}$, we have

$$n_\varepsilon(t) = \sum_{|j|=0}^{\infty} n_\varepsilon^{(j)}(x)(t-x)^j/j!,\ t \in B(x,r), \varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0),$$

for some radius $r > 0$. Now, since $\sup_{t\in B(x,r)} |n_\varepsilon(t)| = O(\varepsilon^a)$, for every $a > 0$, it follows that there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for every $a > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1)$ such that

$$|n_\varepsilon^{(j)}(x)| \leq C\eta^j j!\varepsilon^a,\ j \in \mathbb{N},\ \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Consider $[(F_{x_0,\varepsilon} - F_{x_1,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon]$ in the intersection of complex balls $\tilde{B}_{x_0} \cap \tilde{B}_{x_1}$. It follows that there exists an open complex ball with the center at x and of radius $1/\eta$, such that $[(F_{x_0,\varepsilon} - F_{x_1,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon]$ equals zero in this ball. But we know ([22]) that in this case $[(F_{x_0,\varepsilon} - F_{x_1,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon]$ equals zero in $B_{x_0} \cap B_{x_1}$.

Let $\Omega = \cup_{x\in\omega} B_x$. Since $\mathcal{G}_H$ is a sheaf, and the family $F_x \in \mathcal{G}(B_x), x \in \omega$ has the property that on the intersections of the balls elements of the family coincide, it follows that there is $F \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ such that for every $x \in \omega$ one has $F|B_x = F_x$. Since F is holomorphic, by [22] we have that it admits a holomorphic representative $(\tilde{F}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$. Thus $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, where $f_\varepsilon = \tilde{F}_\varepsilon|\omega$, is a real analytic representative of f which satisfies (i) and (ii). □

Corollary 1. *a) Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_A(\omega)$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then for every open set $\omega_1 \subset\subset \omega$ there exist an open set $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ such that $\Omega_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^d = \omega_1$ and a net of holomorphic functions $(F_\varepsilon)\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_M(\Omega_1)$ such that $F_\varepsilon|\omega_1 = f_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$.*

b) If $d = 1$ then the assertion in a) holds globally, with Ω instead of Ω_1.

Hypothesis. 1. The existence of a global holomorphic representative of $f \in \mathcal{G}_H(\Omega)$ depends on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^d$. Actually, we know such representations for appropriate domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$.

2. If $f \in \mathcal{G}_A(\omega)$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ then we conjuncture that it admits a global real analytic representative.

It is proved in [16] that a holomorphic generalized function in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is equal to zero if:

I It is equal to zero in every classical point of Ω;

II It takes zero values at all points of a subset S of a smooth curve $\gamma \subset \Omega$ with positive length measure, meas$S > 0$.

III It takes zero values at all points of a subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \Omega$ of positive Lebesgue measure, $m(\mathcal{A}) > 0$. Clearly II ⇒ I, and III ⇒ I.

The proofs of (i), (ii) and (iii) of part a) of the next theorem is a consequence of quoted results and the result of [7] and [22], where it is proved that a ($p-$dimensional) holomorphic generalized function equals zero in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^p$, if it equals zero in an open subset of this set. Actually, the basic assertion which will be used, is that a generalized function equals zero if and only if it equals zero at every compactly supported generalized point [20].

Although the first assertion in a) is the consequence of the second and third one, we will give proofs of all assertions.

Theorem 3. *a) Let* Ω *be an open set of* $\mathbb{C}^p, p > 1$ *and* $f = [(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}_H(\Omega)$.

(i) Assume that $f(x) = 0$ *for every* $x \in \Omega$ *(*$(f_\varepsilon(x))_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$*). Then* $f \equiv 0$.

(ii) Assume that $S \subset \Gamma = \gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \gamma_p \subset \Omega$, *where* $\gamma_i, i = 1, ..., p$, *are smooth curves in* $\mathbb{C}$, *has the property meas*$(S) > 0$, *where meas is the product of length measures, and that* $f(x) = 0$ *(in* $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$*) for every* $x \in S$. *Then* $f \equiv 0$.

(iii) Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ *is a Lebesgue measurable subset of* Ω, *such that its Lebesgue measure is positive (*$m(\mathcal{A}) > 0$*) and that* $f(x) = 0$ *for every* $x \in \mathcal{A}$. *Then* $f \equiv 0$.

b) Let ω *be an open set of* $\mathbb{R}^d, d \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $f = [(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}_A(\omega)$.

If $f(x) = 0$ *for every* $x \in \mathcal{A}$, *where* $\mathcal{A} \subset \omega$ *is a Lebesgue measurable set and has a positive Lebesgues measure, then* $f \equiv 0$.

Proof. a) (i) We can assume that Ω is simply connected; otherwise we have to consider every component of Ω separately. Denote by $W = B_1 \times ... \times B_p$ the product of p balls in $\mathbb{C}$ with radius $r > 0$ and center $t_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, ..., p$, such that $W \subset\subset \Omega$. Using the sheaf property for $f \in \mathcal{G}_H(\Omega)$, it is enough to prove that $f \equiv 0$ in W and this is equivalent to show that $f(z) = 0$ for every compactly supported generalized point $z = (z_1, ..., z_p) \in \tilde{W}_c$.

Let us note that $z = (z_1, ..., z_p)$ is a compactly supported generalized point of $\tilde{W}_c$ if and only if z_i is a compactly supported generalized point of $\tilde{B}_i$, for every $i = 1, ..., p$.

Fix $(s_1, ..., s_{p-1}) \in B(t_1, r) \times ... \times B(t_{p-1}, r)$ and consider a holomorphic generalized function

$$g_p(t) := f(s_1, ..., s_{p-1}, t),\ t \in B(t_p, r).$$

By assumption, it is equal to zero for every $t \in B(t_p, r)$. By [16] it is equal to zero in $B(t_p, r)$ and by [20], it is equal to zero in every compactly supported generalized point $z_p \in (\tilde{B}(t_p, r))_c$. Thus $f(s_1, ..., s_{p-1}, z_p)$ for every $(s_1, ..., s_{p-1}) \in B(t_1, r) \times ... \times B(t_{p-1}, r)$ and every compactly supported z_p in $\tilde{B}(t_p, r)$.

Now we fix points $(l_1, ..., l_{p-2}) \in B(t_1, r) \times ... \times B(t_{p-2}, r)$, z_p and consider a holomorphic generalized function

$$g_{p-1}(t) = f(l_1, ..., l_{p-2}, t, z_p),\ t \in B(t_{p-1}, r)$$

with the representative $g_{p-1,\varepsilon}(t) = f(l_1, ..., l_{p-2}, t, z_{p,\varepsilon}),\ t \in B(t_{p-1}, r)$ By the same arguments, we have that $g_{p-1}(z_{p-1}) = 0$ for every compactly supported generalized point $z_{p-1} \in (\tilde{B}(t_{p-1}, r))_c$. Moreover, letting z_p to run over $(\tilde{B}(t_p, r))_c$ and z_{p-1} to run over $(\tilde{B}(t_{p-1}, r))_c$, we have that

$$f(l_1, ..., l_{p-2}, z_{p-1}, z_p) = 0,\ (z_{p-1}, z_p) \in (B(t_{p-1}, \widetilde{r) \times} B(t_p, r))_c$$

for fixed but arbitrary $l_1, ..., l_{p-2}$. In this way we come to

$$g_1(t) = f(t, z_2, ..., z_p),\ t \in B(t_1, r).$$

It is equal to zero at every compactly supported generalized point of $(\tilde{B}(t_1, r))_c$ and we conclude, that

$$f(z_1, ..., z_p) = 0,\ (z_1, ..., z_p) \in (B(t_1, r) \widetilde{\times ... \times} B(t_p, r))_c.$$

This finishes the proof of (i).

(ii) The proof will be done by induction on the dimension p. If $p = 1$, this is II given above.

Suppose that the assertion is true for the dimension $p - 1$. Let us write $z \in \mathbb{C}^p$ as $z = (z^1, z^2)$, where $z^1 \in \mathbb{C}^{p-1}$ and $z^2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $s_1, ..., s_p$ be subsets of $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_p$, respectively, with positive one dimensional length measure such that $(S^1 \times S^2) = ((s_1 \times ... \times s_{p-1}) \times s_p) \subset S$ and let $B_1, ..., B_p$ be one dimensional balls such that $s_i \subset B_i, i = 1, ..., p.$ and the product of balls is contained in Ω. Fix $z^1 \in S^1$. Then $g_{z^1}(t) = f(z^1, t), t \in B_1$ is a holomorphic generalized function such that $g_{z^1}(t) = 0$ for every $t \in s_1$ and by assertion II, $g_{z^1} \equiv 0$. Thus, it holds for every $z^1 \in S^1$ and every compactly supported generalized point $\tilde{t} \in B_p$. Now fix $\tilde{t}$ a compactly supported point of B_p and consider $f_{\tilde{t}}(z^1), z^1 \in B_1 \times ... \times B_{p-1}$. By induction, we have that it is equal to zero at every compactly supported point of $B_1 \times ... \times B_{p-1}$. This completes the proof that f is equal to zero at every compactly supported point of $B_1 \times ... \times B_p$ and we have $f \equiv 0$ in Ω.

(iii) Consider a polydisc $\Delta = D_1 \times ... \times D_p$ such that $m(\mathcal{A} \cap \Delta) > 0$. Now using assertion III, as above, we have that f is equal to zero in Δ, hence in Ω.

b) There exists a box $I = I_1 \times ... \times I_d \subset \omega$ such that $m(\mathcal{A} \cap I) > 0$. Note I_i are curves in $\mathbb{C}$. Consider now the holomorphic extension of f in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^d$, where $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^d = I$. Thus $g(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{A} \cap I$ and this implies $g \equiv 0$ in Ω (part (ii) of a)), hence $f = 0$ in I. This completes the proof of part b). $\square$

Theorem 4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\omega)$ and $f_\varepsilon = f * \phi_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be its regularization by a net $\phi_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\phi(\cdot/\varepsilon), \varepsilon < 1$, where $(\phi_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is a net of mollifiers. Then*

$$singsupp\ _a f = \ singsupp\ _{ga}[(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon],$$

where on the left hand side is the analytic singular support of the distribution f.

The proof is the consequence of the proof of Theorems 7 and 8.

Example 1. *We will construct a generalized function by a net of real analytic functions which is not a real analytic generalized function.*

Let $f_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{t}{\cosh(t/\varepsilon)}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. This net determines a generalized function $f \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = 0$ in the sense of *generalized real numbers* $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ *but* $f \neq 0$ *in* $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$. *Moreover,* $f^{(\alpha)}(t) = 0$ *in* $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, *for every* $t \neq 0$.

For every fixed ε, f_ε *is real analytic, but there does not exist a common open set* V *around zero such that* f_ε *are analytic in* V *for* $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. *More precisely, this generalized function is not real analytic at zero.*

Note, by $f_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{z}{\cosh(z/\varepsilon)}$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ *is defined a moderate net in* $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z; \Im z = 0\}$ *and* $[(f_\varepsilon(z))] = 0$ *in* $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z; \Im z = 0\}$.

3. Analytic wave front set

We will use the notation $\hat{\phi}$ for the Fourier transformation $\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\xi}\phi(x)dx$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 2. Let ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^d$. A sequence $(u_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{G}_c(\omega)$ will be called bounded if there exists a sequence of representatives $(u_{n,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$(\exists K \subset\subset \omega)(\exists m \in \mathbb{R})(\exists b > 0) \tag{3.1}$$

$$\operatorname{supp}(u_{n,\varepsilon}) \subset\subset K, \varepsilon \in (0,1] \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} (1+|\xi|)^{-m} |\widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi)| = O(\varepsilon^{-b}).$$

The following lemma, related to a special sequence of smooth functions $(\kappa_n)_n$ is a consequence of [12], Theorem 1.4.2. We will need the next two interpretations of such a sequence and we refer to [12] for the proof.

Lemma 1. *(i) Let* K *be a compact set of* $\mathbb{R}^d$, $r > 0$ *and* $K_r = \{x : d(x,K) \leq r\}$. *There exist* $C > 0$ *and a sequence of smooth functions* $(\kappa_n)_n$ *, such that:*
(a) $\kappa_n = 1$ *on* K *and* $\operatorname{supp}(\kappa_n) \subset\subset K_r$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
(b) $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\alpha| \leq n} |\kappa_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq C(Cn/r)^{|\alpha|}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) For every $x \in \omega$ *there exist open sets* V, W, $x \in W \subset\subset V \subset\subset \omega$, *and a sequence of functions* $(\kappa_n)_n$ *in* $\mathcal{D}(V)$ *with the property* $\kappa_n \equiv 1$ *in* W, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *and that for every* $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ *there exists* $C_\alpha > 0$, *such that*

$$|\kappa_n^{(\alpha+\beta)}(x)| \leq C_\alpha (C_\alpha n)^\beta, \ |\beta| \leq n, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have the following characterization of analytic generalized functions.

Theorem 5. *Let* $x_0 \in \omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. *An* $f \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$ *is an analytic generalized function at* x_0 *if and only if there exist a bounded sequence* $(u_n)_n$ *in* $\mathcal{G}_c(\omega)$ *and* $B(x_0, r) \subset \omega$ *such that the following two conditions hold:*
(i) $u_n = f$ *in* $B(x_0,r)$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
(ii)There exists a sequence of representatives $(u_{n,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{M,c}(\omega)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *which satisfies*

$$(\exists C > 0)(\exists a > 0)(\exists \varepsilon_0 \in (0,1])$$

$$|\widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi)| \leq (Cn)^n (1+|\xi|)^{-n} \varepsilon^{-a}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Assume that f is analytic at x_0. With the notation of Definition 1, let $B = B(x_0, \lambda)$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Using condition (iii) of Definition 1, there exist $\eta_1 > 0, a > 0, \varepsilon_0 \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in B} |f_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq \eta_1(\eta_1|\alpha|)^{|\alpha|}\varepsilon^{-a}, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d. \tag{3.2}$$

Choose $r = \lambda/3$ and take the sequence $(\kappa_n)_n$ of Lemma 1 (i), associated to $K = B(x_0, r)$. Set $u_{n,\varepsilon} = \kappa_n f_\varepsilon, n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Then $u_{n,\varepsilon}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1)$, define a sequence $(u_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{G}_c(\omega)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(u_{n,\varepsilon}) \subset B(x_0, 2r)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1]$. We have ($\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$)

$$\widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix.\xi} u_{n,\varepsilon}(x)dx = \int_{B(x_0,2r)} e^{-ix.\xi}\kappa_n(x)g_\varepsilon(x)dx. \tag{3.3}$$

It follows that for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|\widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi)| \leq \text{ mes } (B(x_0,2r)) \sup_{x\in B(x_0,2r)} |\kappa_n(x)g_\varepsilon(x)|, \; n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Condition (b) of Lemma 1 (i) and (3.2) with $\alpha = 0$ give a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|\widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi)| \leq c\varepsilon^{-a}, \; \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus $(u_n)_n$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{G}_c(\omega)$ and obviously, $u_n = f, n \in \mathbb{N}$ in $B(x_0, r)$.

For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|\alpha| \leq n$, we have $u_{n,\varepsilon}^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\beta\leq\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta} f_\varepsilon^{(\beta)}\kappa_n^{(\alpha-\beta)}$.
Using again (b) of Lemma 1 (i) and (3.2) we have that there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |u_{n,\varepsilon}^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq \eta(\eta n/r)^{|\alpha|}\varepsilon^{-a}, n \in \mathbb{N}, |\alpha| \leq n, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\xi^\alpha \widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi) = \int_{B(x_0,2r)} e^{-ix.\xi} u_{n,\varepsilon}^{(\alpha)}(x)dx$, we have, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|\xi^\alpha||\widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi)| \leq \text{mes}(B(x_0,2r))\eta(\eta n/r)^{|\alpha|}\varepsilon^{-a}, |\alpha| \leq n, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

This implies, with $|\alpha| = n$,

$$|\xi|^n|\widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi)| \leq \text{mes}(B(x_0,2r))\eta(\eta n/r)^n\varepsilon^{-a}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

It follows that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(1 + |\xi|)^n|\widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi)| \leq (Cn)^n\varepsilon^{-a}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Conversely, assume that the sequence $(u_n)_n$ in Theorem 5 exists. Then for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $x \in B(x_0, r)$ we have

$$u_{n,\varepsilon}^{(\alpha)}(x) = (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix.\xi}\xi^\alpha\widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi)d\xi, \; |\alpha| \leq n.$$

For a given α we choose $n = |\alpha| + d + 1$ and get

$$|u_{n,\varepsilon}^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq (2\pi)^{-d}(Cn)^n\varepsilon^{-a}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi^\alpha|(1 + |\xi|)^{-n}d\xi, x \in B(x_0, r), \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

We have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi^\alpha|(1+|\xi|)^{-n}d\xi \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1+|\xi|)^{-d-1}d\xi < \infty$. It follows that there exists a constant C_1 such that (with $n = |\alpha| + d + 1$)

$$|u^{(\alpha)}_{n,\varepsilon}(x)| \leq (C_1|\alpha|)^{|\alpha|}\varepsilon^{-a}, x \in B(x_0, r), \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Now, since $f_\varepsilon = u_{n,\varepsilon|\omega_0}$, the proof of the proposition is completed. □

Theorem 5 leads to the following definition.

Definition 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$. Then, the analytic wave front set of f, $\mathrm{WF}_{ga}(f)$, is the closed subset of $\omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ whose complement consists of points $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

There exist $B(x_0, r)$, an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and a bounded sequence $(u_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{G}_c(\omega)$ such that $u_n = f$, in $B(x_0, r), n \in \mathbb{N}$, with a sequence of representatives $(u_{n,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with the property

$$(\exists a > 0)(\exists \varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1])(\exists C > 0)$$
$$|\widehat{u_{n,\varepsilon}}(\xi)| \leq (Cn)^n(1 + |\xi|)^{-n}\varepsilon^{-a},\ \xi \in \Gamma, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By the use of sequence $(\kappa_n)_n$ of Lemma 1, in the same way as in [12], Lemma 8.4.4, one can show that the next definition is equivalent to the previous one.

Definition 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$ and $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Then, it is said that f is $g-$microanalytic at this point if:

> There exist neighborhoods W and V and a sequence $(\kappa_n)_n$ as in Lemma 1, (ii);
> There exists a cone Γ_{ξ_0} around ξ_0;
> There exist $a > 0$, $C > 0$ and ε_0,

such that

$$|\widehat{\kappa_n f_\varepsilon}(\xi)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-a}(\frac{Cn}{1 + |\xi|})^n,\ n \in \mathbb{N},\ \xi \in \Gamma,\ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0). \tag{3.5}$$

Then, the analytic wave front set, WF $_{ga}f$ is the complement of the set of points where f is g-microanalytic.

Recall ([18]), $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ and $f = [(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$ are strongly associated if for every $K \subset\subset \Omega$ there exists $a > 0$ such that $|\langle T - f_\varepsilon, \theta\rangle| = O(\varepsilon^a), \varepsilon \to 0$, for every $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$ with $\mathrm{supp}\theta \subset K$. If $|\langle T - f_\varepsilon, \theta\rangle| \to 0, \varepsilon \to 0$, then $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ and $f = [(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ are associated ([4]).

Also recall that a distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$ is microanalytic at $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ if (3.5) holds for $f = f_\varepsilon$ on the left-hand side and $a = 0$ on the right-hand side.

The next example serves as a good motivation for Theorem 6.

Example 2. Let $\hat{\psi} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ equal one in the unit ball and let ψ be its inverse Fourier transform. Define $g_\varepsilon(x) = |\log\varepsilon|\psi(x|\log\varepsilon|), x \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon < 1$. This net determines a real analytic generalized function in $\mathbb{R}$. To prove this, one has to use the inequality $|\varepsilon(\log\varepsilon)^n| \leq e^{-n}n^n, \varepsilon < 1$, in order to estimate $|g^{(n)}_\varepsilon|$.

This analytic generalized is associated to the δ distribution, thus not to an analytic distribution.

Moreover, if we start with a compactly supported distribution T and consider $T_\varepsilon(x) = T * |\log\varepsilon|\psi(x|\log\varepsilon|), x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon < 1$, we obtain a real analytic generalized function $[(T_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$. It is associated to T but not strongly associated to T.

Theorem 6. *Let $f = [(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$ be $g-$microanalytic at $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and let $T \in \mathcal{D}'(V)$ be strongly associated to $f_{|V}$, where V is an open neighborhood of x_0, $V \subset \omega$. Then T is microanalytic at (x_0, ξ_0).*

Proof. We will assume that $\varepsilon_0 = 1$ in (3.5). Moreover, put $f_\varepsilon = 0, \varepsilon \geq 1$. Then (3.5) holds for $\varepsilon > 0$. This simple remark will be important in the proof.

Assume that κ_n equals one in W and that it is supported by $V, n \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. Lemma 1 (ii)). Since $(\kappa_n T)_n$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{E}'(V)$ we know that it is bounded in the Banach space $(C^k(\overline{V}_1))'$, where $\omega \supset V_1 \supset V$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ which is equal to the order of T (see Lemma 8.4.4 in [12]). Thus we have that there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$|\langle T, \rho\rangle| \leq M \sup_{|\alpha|\leq k, x\in V_1} |\rho^{(\alpha)}(x)|. \tag{3.6}$$

By the strong association, there exists $a > 0$ such that $|\langle T - f_\varepsilon, \theta\rangle| = O(\varepsilon^a), \varepsilon \to 0$ for every $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(V_1)$. Let $a = b + c, b, c > 0$. We have that

$$T_{b,\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-b}(T - f_\varepsilon) \to 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(V_1) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0$$

and thus $T_{b,\varepsilon} \to 0$ in $(C^k(\overline{V}_1))'$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We assume that this is the same k as in (3.6). By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, $\{T_{b,\varepsilon}; \varepsilon \in (0,1)\}$ is bounded in $(C^k(\overline{V}_1))'$ and there exists $M > 0$ such that for every $\rho \in C^k(\overline{V}_1)$

$$|\langle T - f_\varepsilon, \rho\rangle| \leq M\varepsilon^b \sup_{|\alpha|\leq k, x\in V_1} |\rho^{(\alpha)}(x)|, \varepsilon < 1 \tag{3.7}$$

Let us write (3.6) in the form

$$|\langle T, \rho\rangle| \leq M\varepsilon^b \sup_{|\alpha|\leq k, x\in V_1} |\rho^{(\alpha)}(x)|, \varepsilon \geq 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Since $|\kappa_n^{(\alpha)}| \leq C(Cn)^{|\alpha|}, |\alpha| < n$ (Lemma 1 (ii)), we have, for $\varepsilon < 1$,

$$|\kappa_n \widehat{(T - f_\varepsilon)}(\xi)| \leq M\varepsilon^b \sup_{|\alpha|\leq k, x\in V_1} |(\kappa_n(x)e^{-ix\xi})^{(\alpha)}|$$

and

$$|\widehat{\kappa_n T}(\xi)| \leq |\widehat{\kappa_n f_\varepsilon}(\xi)| + C\varepsilon^b(Cn)^k(1 + |\xi|)^k, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By (3.5), we have

$$|\widehat{\kappa_n T}(\xi)| \leq C(Cn)^n(1 + |\xi|)^{-n}\varepsilon^{-a} + C\varepsilon^b(Cn)^k(1 + |\xi|)^k, \ \xi \in \Gamma, \varepsilon < 1.$$

Now put

$$\varepsilon = C^{-1/b}(Cn)^{-k/b}p^{p/b}(1+|\xi|)^{-(p+k)/b},\ \xi \in \Gamma, p \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.9}$$

We will consider separately two possible cases, when such defined ε satisfies $\varepsilon < 1$ and $\varepsilon \geq 1$. If $\varepsilon < 1$, we continue,

$$|\widehat{\kappa_n T}(\xi)| \leq \frac{(Cn)^n(1+|\xi|)^{-n+(p+k)a/b}A^{a/b}(Cn)^{ka/b}}{p^{p+ap/b}} + C(Cp)^p(1+|\xi|)^{-p}.$$

Put $n = p(a/b+1)+ka/b$. Then $n^n/p^{p(1+a/b)} \leq r^p$ for suitable $r > 0$ and with the new constants C and p so that $\varepsilon < 1$ in (3.9), we have

$$|\widehat{\kappa_{p(1+a/b)+ka/b}T(\xi)}| \leq C(Cp)^p(1+|\xi|)^p, \xi \in \Gamma.$$

Thus, putting $\psi_p = \kappa_{p(1+a/b)+ka/b}$ for those p for which $\varepsilon < 1$ in (3.9), we obtain

$$|\widehat{\psi_p T}(\xi)| \leq C(Cp)^p(1+|\xi|)^p, \xi \in \Gamma. \tag{3.10}$$

Now we will consider those p and $n = p(a/b+1)+ka/b$ for which $\varepsilon \geq 1$ in (3.9). Now consider (3.8). We have immediately that (3.10) holds. This completes the proof. □

The next theorem corresponds to Hörmander's Theorem 8.4.5 in [12], and it is already proved in [17]. In fact in [17] is considered the wave front which corresponds to a general sequence (L_k); in our case $L_k = k$. We just quote it here.

Theorem 7. *Let* $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. *Then* $pr_1 \, WF_{ga} f = singsupp_{ga} f$.

Now we will compare the wave front set for an f in $\mathcal{E}'(\omega)$ and the wave front of the corresponding generalized function.

Theorem 8. *Let* $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\omega)$ *and* $f_\varepsilon = f * \phi_\varepsilon|_\omega$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. *(It is a representative of embedded distribution;* $(\phi_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ *is a net of mollifiers described in the introduction). Put* $F = [(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$. *Then*

$$WF_a f = WF_{ga} F,$$

where on the left side is the analytic wave front set of the distribution f.

Proof. Since f and F are strongly associated, previous theorem implies that $WF_{ga}F \supset WF_a f$. We will show the opposite inclusion by showing that there exists a representative $(g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of F with the property: If f is microanalytic at (x_0, ξ_0), then

$$|\widehat{\kappa_n g_\varepsilon}(\xi)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-a}(\frac{Cn}{1+|\xi|})^n,\ \xi \in \Gamma,\ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0),\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.11}$$

Let h be a nonnegative function of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that it is equal one in the ball $B(0,1)$ and which is supported by $B(0,2)$. Let

$$\psi_\varepsilon(x) = h(\varepsilon^{-1/2}x)\phi_\varepsilon(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \varepsilon \in (0,1).$$

We will prove later the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *For every* $k, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ *and every* $a > 0$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |x^k(\phi_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)}(x) - \psi_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)}(x))| = O(\varepsilon^a).$$

Thus, by this lemma, $(g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon = (f * \psi_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is also a representative of f. We will show that (3.11) holds for this net.

Let $(\kappa_n)_n$ and $(\tilde{\kappa}_n)_n$ be sequences with the properties of Lemma 1 (ii). so that $\tilde{\kappa}_n(x) = 1, x \in \mathrm{supp}\kappa_{n+d+1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the estimate of Lemma 1, we have that there exists $M > 0$ which does not depend on n such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\kappa_{n+d+1}}(t)|(1+|t|)^n dt < M, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

There exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\kappa_{n+d+1} g_\varepsilon = \kappa_{n+d+1}(f\tilde{\kappa}_n * \psi_\varepsilon), \varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, by Peetre's inequality, for $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$,

$$|\widehat{\kappa_{n+d+1} g_\varepsilon}(\xi)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\kappa_{n+d+1}}(\xi - t)\widehat{f\tilde{\kappa}_n}(t)\widehat{\psi}(\varepsilon t)|dt$$

$$\leq MC(Cn)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\kappa_{n+d+1}}(t)|(1+|\xi-t|)^{-n} dt \leq \frac{C(Cn)^n}{(1+|\xi|)^{-n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\kappa_{n+d+1}}(t)|(1+|t|)^n dt.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 2. Put $s_\varepsilon = \phi_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. Note that $s_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ if $|x| < \varepsilon^{1/2}, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$.

Let $k, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $|x| \geq \varepsilon^{1/2}, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. We have

$$|x^k s_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq |x|^{|k|} \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} |(1 - h(\varepsilon^{-1/2}x))^{(\beta)}||\phi_\varepsilon^{(\gamma)}(x)|$$

$$\leq C|x|^{|k|} \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} c_{\beta,\gamma}\varepsilon^{-\beta/2}\varepsilon^{-|\gamma|+d}|\phi^{(\gamma)}(x/\varepsilon)|$$

$$\leq C|x|^{|k|}\varepsilon^{-|\alpha|-d} \sup_{\gamma\leq\alpha} |\phi^{(\gamma)}(x/\varepsilon)|.$$

(recall again, ϕ defines a net of mollifiers $(\phi_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$.) Put $u = x/\varepsilon$. The last expression becomes

$$\varepsilon^{|k|-(d+|\alpha|)} \sup_{\gamma\leq\alpha} |u|^{|k|}|\phi^{(\gamma)}(u)|, |u| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/2}, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Since $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for every $m, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, there exists $C_{m\alpha} > 0$ such that

$$|u^{2|m|+|k|}\phi^{(\alpha)}(u)| \leq C_{m,\alpha}, u \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which implies

$$|u|^{|k|}|\phi^{(\alpha)}(u)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-2|m|}, |u| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/2}, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Thus we have

$$|x^k s_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq \varepsilon^{|k|-(d+|\alpha|)+|m|}, |x| > \varepsilon^{1/2}$$

and choosing enough large m, we have that the right hand side is equal to $O(\varepsilon^a)$ for every $a > 0$. This finishes the proof of lemma.

4. S-analytic generalized functions

S-analytic generalized functions are introduced in [2] through the Taylor expansions in the sharp topology of $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$. Actually, in [2] such generalized functions are called "analytic" but since this definition leads to a quite different algebra of generalized functions, we will call them S-analytic, in order to avoid the confusion.

Here we will consider the corresponding definitions for $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^d$ (if $d \equiv 2p$, we use notation $\mathbb{C}^p = \mathbb{R}^d$) and we will distinguish the cases $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^p$ and $\tilde{\omega} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^d$.

We reformulate the definition of S-analyticity of [2].

Definition 5. ([2]) Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (resp., $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^p$) be open and $\tilde{s}_0 \in \tilde{\omega}_c$, (resp., $\tilde{s}_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$). Then $f : \tilde{\omega} \to \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ is S-real analytic at $\tilde{s}_0$ (resp., S-holomorphic at $\tilde{s}_0$) if there exist a sequence $a_n \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and a series of the form $\sum_{|n|=0}^{\infty} a_n(\tilde{s} - \tilde{s}_0)^n$ which converges in a neighborhood $\tilde{V}$ (resp., $\tilde{W}$) of $\tilde{s}_0$ in $\tilde{\omega}$ in the sense of sharp topology of $\tilde{\omega}$ (resp., in $\tilde{\Omega}$ in the sense of sharp topology of $\tilde{\Omega}$) such that

$$f(\tilde{s}) = \sum_{|n|=0}^{\infty} a_n(\tilde{s} - \tilde{s}_0)^n, \ \tilde{s} \in \tilde{V}, \ (\text{ resp., } \tilde{s} \in \tilde{W}). \tag{4.12}$$

($(\tilde{s} - \tilde{s}_0)^n = (\tilde{s}_1 - \tilde{s}_{0,1})^{n_1} ... (\tilde{s}_d - \tilde{s}_{0,d})^{n_d}$.) Actually, we will use (4.12) with a representative $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ and $|s_\varepsilon - s_{0,\varepsilon}| = O(\varepsilon^{-\ln r})$ (cf. the definition of the ball $\tilde{B}(s_0, r)$.) It is said that f is S-real analytic in ω (resp., S-holomorphic in Ω) if f is S-real analytic in s_0 (resp., S-holomorphic in s_0) for all $s_0 \in \tilde{\omega}_c$ (resp., $s_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$). We denote by $\mathcal{G}_{SA}(\omega)$ (resp., $\mathcal{G}_{SH}(\omega)$) the algebra of S-real-analytic (resp., S-holomorphic) generalized functions.

$\mathcal{G}_{SA}(\omega)$, (resp., $\mathcal{G}_{SH}(\Omega)$) is a differential subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}(\omega)$ (resp., $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$).

Recall, a series $\sum_n a_n$ converges in an ultrametric space if and only if its general therm a_n tends to zero as $n \to \infty$.

In the complex case, the sets of of S-holomorphic and holomorphic generalized functions coincide. Thus we extend the result of [2] where it is proved in the one dimensional case that if f is holomorphic and sub-linear then f is S-holomorphic.

Theorem 9. *Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{C}^p$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. Then f is holomorphic in Ω iff f is S-holomorphic in $\tilde{\Omega}$.*

Proof. If $[(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ is $S-$holomorphic, then (as it is proved in [2]) derivatives can be made on the series (4.12) (for f_ε) term by term which gives $\bar{\partial} f = 0$. Conversely, if $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ and $\tilde{s}_0 = [(s_{0\varepsilon})_\varepsilon] \in \tilde{\Omega}_c$, then by the Cauchy formula, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, we obtain bounds of the form

$$|f_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)}(s_\varepsilon)| \le C\eta^{|\alpha|}\alpha!\varepsilon^{-a}, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \text{ for some } a > 0,$$

for $\tilde{s}$ in a sharp neighborhood of $\tilde{s}_0$. It follows that the corresponding Taylor series, expressed in terms of z and $\bar{z}$ converges in the sharp topology, since terms of $\bar{\partial} f_\varepsilon$ involving $\bar{z}$ are all equal to zero. Thus, f is S-analytic. □

Now we extend the notion of sub-linearity of [2] in the $d-$dimensional case.

Definition 6. ([2]) An $f \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$ is sub-linear in an open set $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ if there exists a representative $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of f with the following property: For every $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\omega}_c$ there exist its representative $(x_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of $\tilde{x}$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence $(p_n)_n$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(p_n + kn) = \infty \text{ and } |f_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)}(x_\varepsilon)| = O(\varepsilon^{p_n}),\ |\alpha| = n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Note if the conditions of this definition hold for some representatives of f and $\tilde{x}$, then they hold for any representatives of f and $\tilde{x}$.

The set of all sub-linear functions is a $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}(\omega)$ and $\mathcal{G}^\infty(\omega)$ is its subalgebra.

Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\omega)$ and $[(f * \phi_\varepsilon|_\omega)_\varepsilon]$ be the corresponding (embedded) generalized function. Then it is a sub-linear generalized function. The same holds for $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$.

Theorem 10. *a) Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{C}^p$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.*

Let f be S-holomorphic in Ω. Then f is sub-linear in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2p}$.

b) Let ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $f \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$.

1. If f is S-real analytic then it is sub-linear.

2. If f is real analytic, then f is S-real analytic.

3. If $T \in \mathcal{E}'(\omega)$, then $[(T * \phi_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}(\omega)$ is S-real analytic.

In particular, every element of $\mathcal{G}^\infty(\omega)$ is S-real analytic but not necessarily real analytic.

Proof. a) This result, in case of $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$, is proved in [2]. Let $\tilde{z}_0 = [(z_{0,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon]$ be a compactly supported generalized point. Since $|\partial^\alpha f(\tilde{z}_0)(\tilde{z} - \tilde{z}_0)^\alpha/\alpha!| \to 0$ as $|\alpha| \to \infty$, if $\tilde{z}$ belongs to a (sharp) neighborhood of $\tilde{z}_0$, one derives the sub-linearity at $\tilde{z}_0$.

b) The proof of 1. is the same as for holomorphic generalized functions. Concerning 2., it comes from the remark that $\mathcal{G}_A(\omega) \subset \mathcal{G}^\infty(\omega)$ and 3. So let us prove 3.

3. Let a point $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\omega}_c$ be supported by $\omega'' \subset\subset \omega$, and $\omega'' \subset\subset \omega' \subset\subset \omega$. By $T * \phi_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)} = (T * \phi_\varepsilon)^{(\alpha)}$, we have that there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in\omega''} |T * \phi_\varepsilon^{(\alpha)}(t)| = O(\varepsilon^{-k-|\alpha|}),\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

With

$$a_n = [(\frac{T * \phi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x_\varepsilon)}{n!})_\varepsilon],\ n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d,$$

we have $a_{n,\varepsilon} = O(\varepsilon^{-k-|n|})$. Consider the ball $\tilde{B}(\tilde{x}, r)$ so that $-\ln r = k+2$ $(|y_\varepsilon - x_\varepsilon| < \varepsilon^{k+2})$. Thus, for $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{B}(\tilde{x}, r)$, $a_n|y-x|^{|n|}$ converges to zero in the sense of sharp topology as $|n| \to \infty$ and

$$f(\tilde{y}) = \sum_n a_n(\tilde{y} - \tilde{x})^n,\ (|y_\varepsilon - x_\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon^{k+2}),$$

converges. Hence f is an S-analytic generalized function.

The proof of the particular case is omitted.

In general, we can conclude that an S-analytic generalized function can be irregular while an analytic generalized function is very regular.

Acknowledgement. Work of the first author is partially supported by START-project Y237 of the Austrian Science Fund and by the MNZZS of Serbia 144016

References

[1] Aragona, J., On existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator on generalized differential forms, Proc. London Math. Soc. 53(1986), 474–488.

[2] Aragona, J., Fernandez, R., Juriaans, S. O., Discontinuous Colombeau differential calculus, Monatsh. Math. 144(2005), 13–29.

[3] Biagioni, H. A., A Nonlinear Theory of Generalized Functions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Hedelberg-New York, 1990.

[4] Colombeau, J. F., New Generalized Functions and Multiplications of Distributions, North Holland, 1982.

[5] Colombeau, J. F., Elementary Introduction in New Generalized Functions, North Holland, 1985.

[6] Colombeau, J. F., Galé, J. E., Holomorphic generalized functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 103(1984), 117–133.

[7] Colombeau, J. F., Galé, J. E., Analytic continuation of generalized functions, Acta Math. Hung. 52(1988), 57-60.

[8] Garetto, C., Topological structures in Colombeau algebras: investigation of the duals of $\mathcal{G}_c(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{GS}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Monatsh. Math. 146 (2005), 203-226.

[9] Garetto, C., Topological structures in Colombeau algebras: topological $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}$-modules and duality theory, Acta Appl. Math., 88 (2005), 81-123.

[10] Garetto, C., Hörmann, G., Microlocal analysis of generalized functions: pseudodifferential techniques and propagation of singularities, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 48, (2005), 603-629.

[11] Grosser, M., Kunzinger, M., Oberguggenberger, M., Steinbauer,R., Geometric Generalized Functions with Applications to General Relativity, Kluwer, 2001.

[12] Hörmander, L., The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 256. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[13] Hormander, L., Uniqueness theorems and wave front sets for solutions of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients, Comm. on pure and Appl. Math. 24 (1971) 671-704.

[14] Hörmann, G., Kunzinger, M., Microlocal properties of basic operations in Colombeau algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 261, 254-270 (2001).

[15] Hörmann, G., Hölder-Zygmund regularity in algebras of generalized functions, Z. Anal. Anwendungen 23 (2004), 139-165.

[16] Khelif, A., Scarpalezos, D., Zeros of generalized holomorphic functions, Monach.Math, (2006), to appear.

[17] Marti, J. A., $\mathcal{G}_L$-microlocal analysis of generalized functions. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 17 (2006), 119–125.

[18] Nedeljkov, M., Pilipović, S., Scarpalezeos, D.,, Linear Theory of Colombeau's Generalized Functions, Addison Wesley, Longman, 1998.

[19] Oberguggenberger, M., Multiplication of distributions and application to partial differential equations. Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 259, Longman, Harlow, 1992.

[20] Oberguggenberger, M., Kunzinger, M.,Characterization of Colombeau generalized functions by their pointvalues. Math. Nachr. 203 (1999), 147–157.

[21] Oberguggenberger, M., Pilipović, S., Scarpalezos, D., Positivity and positive definiteness in generalized function algebras. J.Math. Anal. Appl. (2006), to appear.

[22] Oberguggenberger, M., Pilipović, S., Valmorin, V., Global holomorphic representatives of holomorphic generalized functions Monach. Math. 2006, to appear.

[23] Pilipović, S., Generalized hyperfunctions and algebra of megafunctions, Tokyo J. Math. 28 (2005), 1–12.

[24] Scarpalezos, D., Topologies dans les espaces de nouvelles fonctions généralisées de Colombeau. $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}$–modules topologiques, Preprint ser. Université Paris 7, Université Paris 7 1992.

[25] Scarpalezos, D., Some remarks on functoriality of Colombeau's construction; topological and microlocal aspects and applications. Generalized functions—linear and nonlinear problems (Novi Sad, 1996, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 6 (1998), 295–307.

[26] Scarpalezos, D., Colombeau's generalized functions: topological structures; microlocal properties. A simplified point of view, I, Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math., (2000), 89–114.

[27] Schwartz, L., Theorie des distributiones, Hermann, Paris, 1950.

[28] Valmorin, V., Vanishing theorems in Colombeau generalized functions, Canad. Math. Bull., to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD, TRG D. OBRADOVIĆA 4, 21000 NOVI SAD, SERBIA

U.F.R. DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 7, 2 PLACE JUSSIEU, PARIS 5 $\overset{e}{=}$, 75005, FRANCE

UNIVERSITÉ DES ANTILLES ET DE LA GUYANE, DÉPARTEMENT MATH-INFO, CAMPUS DE FOUILLOLE: 97159 POINTE À PITRE CEDEX, FRANCE